# **Y. Tsumura 9 K. Ohba . S. H. Strauss**

# **Diversity and inheritance of inter-simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and sugi (Cryptomeria japonica)**

Received: 5 May 1995 / Accepted: 26 May 1995

**Abstract** We studied inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) polymorphism and inheritance in Douglas-fir *[Pseudotsuya menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco] and sugi *(Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) megagametophytes using primers that anneal to simple repeats of various lengths, sequences, and non-repetitive motifs at the 5' and 3' ends. Products were visualized on agarose gels with ethidium bromide staining. More than 60% of the 96 primers tested gave interpretable banding patterns in both Douglas-fir and sugi, and the useful primers were in complete agreement among species. Dinucleotide repeat primers were the majority of those tested, and gave all of the useful banding patterns. The 24 best primers were used for segregation studies, yielding a total of 77 loci distributed among two Douglas-fir families and one sugi family. Approximately 90% of the 24 primers showed polymorphism within at least one of the three families. The average number of variable loci per primer was 1.6. Primers based on (AG), repeats gave the largest number of polymorphic loci; 16 primer-family combinations yielded 24 segregating loci. However, primer based on  $(GT)$ , repeats gave the most loci per primer studied (mean of 2.0). All markers displayed apparent dominance (band presence vs absence), and all but three segregation ratios (4%) fit Mendelian expectations, Because they employ longer primers than do RAPDs, have a high degree of polymorphism, conform

Paper 3082 of the Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University

Communicated by P. M. A. Tigerstedt

Y. Tsumura  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Bio-resources Technology Division, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Kukizaki, Ibaraki 305, Japan

K. Ohba

Institute of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

#### S. H. Strauss

Department of Forest Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331-7501, USA

well to Mendelian expectations, and do not require use of acrylamide gels for analysis, ISSRs may be useful markers for PCR-based genome maps and population studies of conifers.

**Key words**  $\$  RAPD  $\cdot$  Repetitive DNA  $\cdot$  Genome mapping  $\cdot$  Microsatellite DNA  $\cdot$  Conifers

## **Introduction**

Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) or microsatellites are abundant in higher eukaryotic genomes. SSRs are tandem repetitive DNA sequences with a repeat length of short motifs (1-5 base pairs) (Litt and Luty 1989; Weber and May 1989; Hamada et al. 1982). SSRs have been proven to be highly informative and to be distributed throughout genomes. Because of their short repeat length and limited iteration at individual loci, they can be readily studied via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification (Moore et al. 1991; Schlotterer et al. 1991; Lagercrantz et al. 1993; Saghai Maroof et al. 1994). Repeat-anchored primers that amplify regions between SSRs (referred to as inter-simple sequence repeats: ISSRs) are also useful for detecting genetic polymorphism, and have been used to fingerprint closely related individals (Zietkiewicz etal. 1994). In contrast to methods that amplify SSRs on the basis of primers for flanking singer-copy DNA, ISSR primers anneal directly to SSRs and thus require no prior knowledge of target sequences.

Genomes have been mapped in conifers by means of restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (reviewed in Neale and Harry 1994; Nelson et al. 1993; Mukai et al. 1995). RFLPs are limited by their slowness, the need for clone banks, and the requirement for large amounts of DNA, the latter precluding megagametophyte-based mapping. In contrast, RAPDs have been widely adopted because of their speed, lack of need for clone banks, and requirement for very little DNA,

**enabling megametophyte-based mapping. However, RAPDs also present problems for genome mapping. They often do not show simple Mendelian inheritance (Echt et al. 1992; Halward et al. 1992; Reiter et al. 1992), results can be difficult to replicate, and maps from different families or species are hard to align (Neale and**  Harry 1994). Because of the length of ISSR primers, they **may show greater repeatability and stability of map position in the genome when comparing genotypes within species. In the present paper we show that ISSRs, even when studied in agarose gels without radioactive labeling, have high levels of polymorphism and reliable Mendelian segregation in sugi and Douglas-fir, conifer species important in Japanese and American forestry.** 

## **Material and methods**

### Seed samples and DNA extraction

Open-pollinated seeds from three mother trees, hereafter referred to as families, were analyzed: families include Midori 5 of sugi from Japan, and \$31t20 and S45tll of Douglas-fir. \$31t20 is a member of the coastal race of Douglas-fir (variety *menziesii)* from Fish Lake in the western Cascades Mountains of Oregon, USA (Sorensen 1979); \$45tll is a member of the north interior race (variety *9lauca)* from Grouse Creek, Idaho, USA.

Seeds of sugi were soaked overnight in a  $3\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution, placed on wet filter paper in sterile dishes, and incubated for 3 weeks at 25 °C. Megagametophytes were extracted from each seed when germination began and the seed coat had broken. DNA was extracted with a modified SDS method: megagametophytes were placed in 200µl of extraction buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, 0.1 mg proteinase K), homogenized with a Minitor homogenizer, incubated at 37 °C for  $2h$ , then extracted with TE-saturated phenol. RNase was added to a final concentration of  $50 \mu g/ml$ , incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, then extracted twice again with TEsaturated phenol. DNA was precipitated with a one-tenth vol of 3 M NaOAc and 2.5 vol of cold ethanol, washed twice with 70% ethanol, then dissolved in 200 µl of TE. Seeds of Douglas-fir were soaked in distilled water overnight before megagametophytes were removed from the seeds. Megagametophyte DNA was then extracted with a modification of the CTAB protocol of Wagner et al. (1987) in which the DNA was further purified by four phenol : chloroform : isoamyl alochol (25 : 24 : 1) extractions and a final ethanol precipitation.

#### Oligonucleotide primers

We examined 96 SSR primers from the University of British Columbia Biotechnology Laboratory primer set 9 during our initial screen (Fig. 1). Primers were mostly 16- to 17-mers. Twenty-four of these primers that gave clear banding patterns were used to study segregation.

#### PCR amplification and electrophoresis

PCR amplification was performed in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 50mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.3% BSA, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 2% formamide, 1  $\mu$ M of primer, 1 unit of *Taq* polymerase (Promega), and 10 ng of genomic DNA per 20-gl reaction. Initial denaturation was for 7 min at 94 °C, followed by 45 cycles of 30s at 94 °C, 45 s at 52 °C, 2 min at 72 °C, and a final 7-min extension at 72°C. PCR was performed in a PCT-100 thermocycler (MJ Research). PCR products were analyzed on 2% agarose gels in  $1 \times \text{TBE}$ buffer (Maniatis et al. 1982), then stained with ethidium bromide, and scored for band presence or absence.

**Dinucleotide** motifs 801 ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TT 802 ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TG 803 ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TC 804 TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT AA 805 TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT AC 806 TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT AG 807 AGA GAG AGA GAG AGA GT 808 AGA GAG AGA GAG AGA GC 809 AGA GAG AGA GAG AGA GG 810 GAG AGA GAG AGA GAG AT 811 GAG AGA GAG AGA GAG AC 812 GAG AGA GAG AGA GAG AA 813 CTC TCT CTC TCT CTC TT 814 CTC TCT CTC TCT CTC TA 815 CTC TCT CTC TCT CTC TG 816 CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC AT 817 CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC AA 818 CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC AG 819 GTG TGT GTG TGT GTG TA 820 GTG TGT GTG TGT GTG TC 821 GTG TGT GTG TGT GTG TT 822 TCT CTC TCT CTC TCT CA 823 TCT CTC TCT CTC TCT CC 824 TCT CTC TOT CTC TCT CG 825 ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CT 826 ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CC 827 ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CG 828 TGT GTG TGT GTG TGT GA 829 TGT GTG TGT GTG TGT GC 830 TGT GTG TGT GTG TGT GG 831 ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TYA 832 ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TYC 833 ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TYG 834 AGA GAG AGA GAG AGA GYT 835 AGA GAG AGA GAG AGA GYC 836 AGA GAG AGA GAG AGA GYA 837 TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT ART 838 TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT ARC 839 TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT ARG 840 GAG AGA GAG AGA GAG AYT 841 GAG AGA GAG AGA GAG AYC 842 GAG AGA GAG AGA GAG AYG 843 CTC TCT CTC TCT CTC TRA 844 CTC TCT CTC TCT CTC TRC 845 CTC TCT CTC TCT CTC TRG 846 CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC ART 847 CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC ARC 848 CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC ARG 849 GTG TGT GTG TGT GTG TYA

853 TCT CTC TCT CTC TCT CRT 854 TCT CTC TCT CTC TCT CRG 855 ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CYT 856 ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CYA 857 ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CYG 858 TGT GTG TGT GTG TGT GRT 859 TGT GTG TGT GTG TGT GRC 860 TGT GTG TGT GTG TGT GRA **Trinucleotide motifs**  861 ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC 862 AGC AGC AGC AGC AGC AGC 863 AGT AGT AGT AGT AGT AGT 864 ATG ATG ATG ATG ATG ATG 865 CCG CCG CCG CCG CCG CCG 866 CTC CTC CTC CTC CTC CTC 867 GGC GGC GGC GGC GGC GGC 868 GAA GAA GAA GAA GAA GAA 869 GTT GTT GTT GTT GTT GTT 870 TGC TGC TGC TGC TGC TGC 871 TAT TAT TAT TAT TAT TAT **Tetra- and pentanucleotide motifs**  872 GAT AGA TAG ATA GAT A 873 GAC AGA CAG ACA GAC A 874 CCC TCC CTC CCT CCC T 875 CTA GCT ACG TAG CTA G 876 GAT AGA TAG ACA GAC A 877 TGC ATG CAT GCA TGC A 878 GGA TGG ATG GAT GGA T 879 CTT CAC TTC ACT TCA 880 GGA GAG GAG AGG AGA 881 GGGG TGG GGT GGG GTG **5'degenerate motifs**  882 VBV ATA TAT ATA TAT AT 883 BVB TAT ATA TAT ATA TA 884 HBH AGA GAG AGA GAG AG 885 BHB GAG AGA GAG AGA GA 886 VDV CTC TCT CTC TCT CT 887 DVD TCT CTC TCT CTC TC 888 BDB CAC ACA CAC ACA CA 889 DBD ACA CAC ACA CAC AC 890 VHV GTG TGT GTG TGT GT 891 HVH TGT GTG TGT GTG TG Other **motifs**  892 TAG ATC TGA TAT CTG AAT TCC C 895 AGA GTT GGT ACG TCT TGA TC 898 GAT CAA GCT TNN NNN NAT GTG G 899 CAT GGT GTT GGT CAT TGT TCC A 900 ACT TCC CCA CAG GTT AAC ACA

8SI GTG TGT GTG TGT GTG TYG 852 TCT CTC TCT CTC TCT CRA

Fig. 1 Primers used in the present study (derived from University of British Columbia set 9). R purine; Y pyrimidine; N any nucleotide; B C, G, or T; D A, G, or T; H A, C, or T; V A, C, or G

#### Genetic segregation analysis

850 GTG TGT GTG TGT GTG TYC

The megagametophyte tissue of conifers is haploid; therefore DNA fragments from a heterozygous mother tree are expected to show i :1 segregation among seeds. We used eight DNA samples from each family in a preliminary segregation analysis, providing a 99.2% probability of detecting heterozygosity in the absence of segregation distortion  $[1-(1/2)^{n-1}$ , where *n* indicates number of megagametophytes sampled]. After detecting heterozygosity, up to 40 additional samples per tree were analyzed for segregation.

## **Results**

**From the primers that gave amplification products in our initial screen, we selected the 24 that gave the clearest bands; all of these annealed to dinucleotide repeats (Tables 1 and 2). Although most primers that gave amplification products appeared to include polymorphic bands, there were often too many bands for interpretation on a 2% agarose gel. We studied 24 primers for Midori 5, 22 for \$31t20, and 21 for \$45t11.** 

Table 1 Segregation of ISSR fragments in families S31t20 and S45t11 of Douglas-fir

**Table** 2 Segregation of ISSR fragments in family Midori 5 of sugi

| Family | Primer                                     | Fragment<br>size (bp) | Segregation<br>$a:b^a$ | $\chi^2$     | Signif. <sup>b</sup> |
|--------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| S31t20 | $(AC)_{8}$ YG                              | 680                   | 16:7                   | 3.52         | NS                   |
|        |  | 500                   | 16:7                   | 3.52         | NS                   |
|        |  | 480                   | 11:12                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
|        |  | 440                   | 9:14                   | 1.09         | NS                   |
|        | $(AC)_{8}$ YT                              | 650                   | 9:17                   | 2.46         | NS                   |
|        |  | 290                   | 14:12                  | 0.15         | NS                   |
|        | $(AG)_{8}C$                                | 600                   | 10:12                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
|        | $(AG)_{8}$ G                               | 580                   | 10:12                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
|        |  | 480                   | 13:9                   | 0.73         | $_{\rm NS}$          |
|        | $(AG)_{8}T$                                | 1050                  | 11:11                  | 0.00         | NS                   |
|        |  | 650                   | 10:12                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
|        | $(AG)_{8}$ $YA$                            | 400                   | 13:12                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
|        | $\left( {\rm AG} \right)_{\rm 8} {\rm YT}$ | 260                   | 13:12                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
|        | (CA) <sub>8</sub> A                        | 850                   | 7:10                   | 0.53         | NS                   |
|        |  | 650                   | 10:7                   | 0.53         | NS                   |
|        | $(CA)_{8}RT$                               | 600                   | 10:15                  | 1.00         | NS                   |
|        | $\left( \text{CT}\right) _{8}\text{RC}$    | 850                   | 9:14                   | 1.09         | NS                   |
|        |  | 480                   | 16:7                   | 3.52         | NS                   |
|        | $(CT)_{8}RG$                               | 500                   | 12:10<br>8:9           | 0.18         | NS<br>$_{\rm NS}$    |
|        | (GA) <sub>8</sub> A                        | 520<br>280            | 8:12                   | 0.06<br>0.80 | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_{8}C$                                | 850                   | 11:9                   | 0.20         | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_8$ T                                 | 520                   | 11:9                   | 0.20         | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_{8}$ YC                              | 520                   | 10:14                  | 0.67         | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_{8}$ YG                              | 700                   | 15:9                   | 1.50         | NS                   |
|        |  | 600                   | 14:10                  | 0.67         | NS                   |
|        |  | 400                   | 16:8                   | 2.67         | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_{8}$ $YT$                            | 650                   | 14:11                  | 0.36         | NS                   |
|        |  | 440                   | 15:10                  | 1.00         | NS                   |
|        | $\rm (GT)_8 \, YC$                         | 520                   | 11:15                  | 0.62         | NS                   |
|        |  | 440                   | 13:13                  | 0.00         | NS                   |
|        |  | 270                   | 14:12                  | 0.15         | NS                   |
|        | $(GT)_{8}$ YG                              | 290                   | 12:13                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
|        |  | 260                   | 9:16                   | 1.96         | NS                   |
|        |  | 130                   | 12:13                  | 0.04         | NS                   |
| S45t11 | $(AC)_8$ YA                                | 700                   | 12:19                  | 1.58         | NS                   |
|        |  | 340                   | 15:16                  | 0.03         | NS                   |
|        | $(AC)_{8}$ YG                              | 870                   | 16:15                  | 0.03         | NS                   |
|        | $(AC)_{8}$ $YT$                            | 880                   | 13:18                  | 0.81         | $_{\rm NS}$          |
|        | $(AG)_{8}C$                                | 1000                  | 14:17                  | 0.29         | NS                   |
|        | $(AG)_{8}T$                                | 460                   | 17:14                  | 0.29         | NS                   |
|        | $(AG)_{8}$ YC                              | 230                   | 15:16                  | 0.03         | $_{\rm NS}$          |
|        | $(AG)_{8}$ $YT$                            | 560                   | 14:17                  | 0.29         | NS                   |
|        | $(CA)_8 A$                                 | 450                   | 16:15                  | 0.03         | NS                   |
|        | $(CA)_8 RT$                                | 340                   | 13:18                  | 0.81         | NS                   |
|        | $\overline{\text{ (CT)}_8 \text{ RC}}$     | 850                   | 16:16                  | $_{0.00}$    | $_{\rm NS}$          |
|        |  | 480                   | 18:14                  | 0.50         | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_{8}C$                                | 680                   | 18:13                  | 0.81         | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_{8} YG$                              | 220                   | 17:15                  | 0.13         | NS                   |
|        | $(GA)_{8}$ YT                              | 800                   | 10:21                  | 3.90         | < 0.05               |
|        |  | 440                   | 20:11                  | 2.61         | NS                   |
|        | $\rm (GT)_8\,YA$                           | 800                   | 19:13                  | 1.13         | NS                   |
|        | $(GT)_8$ $YC$                              | 310                   | 11:20                  | 2.61         | NS                   |
|        | $(GT)_{8}$ YG                              | 260                   | 18:13                  | 0.81         | NS                   |

<sup>a "</sup>a" indicates band presence, "b" indicates band absence

b Tested at the 5% level of statistical confidence; NS, not significant

Unstable or weak bands were not scored for segregation analysis, although there appeared to be many such variable bands in both species (data not shown).

Amplified DNA fragments varied in size from approximately 200 bp to 1000 bp (Fig. 2). Segregation in Douglas-fir was easier to interpret than in sugi. In



<sup>a</sup> "*a*" indicates band presence, "*b*" indicates band absence

<sup>b</sup> Tested at the 5% level of statistical confidence; NS, not significant

\$31t20, none of the 35 segregating bands that were generated by the 19 primers departed from a 1 : 1 segregation ratio (Table 1). In \$45tl 1, of 19 segregating bands detected with 16 primers, all but one fit a 1:1 ratio (Table 1). In Midori 5, of 23 segregating bands amplified with 13 primers, two departed from Mendelian expectations (Table 2). In all, 3 of the 77 bands studied in the three families showed distortion, which is very close to expectation due to chance alone at the 5% probability level (3.8). When data were pooled over loci, there was also no evidence of distortion or of heterogeneity among loci (Table 3). Null and banded phenotypes were equally represented within the three families; band : null ratios of 412:395, 292: 301, and 503 : 535 were observed in \$31t20, \$45t11, and Midori 5, respectively. The ratio was 1207 : 1231 when pooled over all loci and families.

Of the 24 primers studied in the three families, the  $(AG)$ , primers were most abundant (16) and thus gave rise to the largest number of polymorphic loci (24) (Table 4). However, the (GT), primers showed the highest ratio of polymorphic loci per primer (2.0; 14/7), followed by  $(AC)<sub>n</sub>$  at 1.9 and  $(CT)<sub>n</sub>$  at 1.7. The mean number of polymorphic loci per primer was 1.6. There were no consistent differences between the species in diversity; the average number ofloci per primer were 1.8, 1.2, and 1.8 in \$31t20, \$45t11, and Midori 5, and the proportion of polymorphic primers of the 24 tested were 92%, 87%, and 100%, respectively. As expected from previous studies (cf. Li and Adams 1989), the Douglas-fir tree of the coastal variety was more heterozygous than was the one from the interior variety, having 35 hetero**Fig. 2 A,B** PCR-amplifiedintersimple sequence repeat patterns on 2% agarose gels. Size markers at the ends of the gel and *4,X174/HaelII* (1353, 1078, 872, 603, 310, 281, 234, and 194 bp). A Douglas-fir \$45t20 family with the  $(GT)_{8}$  YC primer. **B** Sugi Midori 5 family with the  $(AG)_{8}$ C primer



**Table 3** Pooled and heterogeneity tests of segregation<sup>a</sup>



a NS, not significant at the 5% level; *df,* degrees of freedom

zygous loci from 19 primers as compared with 19 heterozygous loci from 16 primers.

## **Discussion**

PCR conditions for ISSR amplification

We informally studied several factors to enhance the ISSR-PCR reaction. We found that formamide was critical to reducing background and smear in the PCR products, thus enabling interpretation of bands.

Formamide influences primer-template annealing and melting temperature, and concentrations above 10% formamide are known to inhibit *Taq* polymerase (Gelfand 1989). In the present study, formamide concentrations of  $1-2\%$  aided amplification (unpublished data), and 2% dramatically enhanced the clarity of banding patterns for most primers. Formamide concentrations of 3% or above completely inhibited amplification.

The number of PCR amplification cycles affected banding patterns: 27, 30, 35, 45 and 50 cycles gave useful amplification; however, 45 and 50 cycles yielded bands of higher intensity and stability than did the lower number of cycles. We examined six template DNA concentrations: 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 ng. Concentrations of 10 ng per reaction yielded the same amplification products as did 25 or 50ng. Even 2.5 and 5ng of template DNA yielded good PCR products, although amplification was inconsistent compared to the higher concentrations. In related studies, higher template amounts (e.g. 25-50ng) have often been used (Moore et al. 1991; Saghai Maroof et al. 1994; Zietkiewicz et al.

Table 4 Number of primers and loci (in parentheses) yielding polymorphisms in two Douglasfir families and one sugi family



a Loci per primer

1994). Because megagametophyte DNA is limited in amount (often  $1 \mu$ g per seed), DNA concentration per reaction may need to be optimized for 1-5 ng levels in order to complete saturated genome maps.

We calculated that the melting temperature (DNASIS, Hitachi Software, Japan) of most of the ISSR primers studied was between  $55^{\circ}$  and 60 °C. Some (AT)<sub>n</sub>, primers, however, had much lower melting temperatures (20 $\degree$  to 33 $\degree$ C), and primers with high GC-content had melting temperatures above  $60^{\circ}$ C. Three annealing temperatures,  $45^{\circ}$ C,  $52^{\circ}$ C and  $54^{\circ}$ C, were examined for all primers, and  $30^{\circ}$ C was examined for the A- and T-rich primers. An annealing temperature of  $52^{\circ}$ C gave superior banding patterns with most primers;  $45^{\circ}$ C tended to yield high background and broad bands. Annealing at  $54^{\circ}$ C worked poorly compared to annealing at 52 °C. Annealing as low as  $30\degree$ C for the AT-rich primers gave little discernible product. Gupta et al. (1994) also found that an  $(AT)$ , primer annealed at 35 °C gave no discernible product in loblolly pine *(Pinus taeda*  L.) or in several other plant and animal species. Because AT-repeats are abundant in plant genomes (Wang et al. 1994), it would be worthwhile to carefully explore PCR conditions to enable their amplification.

## ISSRs in conifers

Approximately 90% of ISSR primers out of the 24 selected showed polymorphism within the three families. Dinucleotide repeat primers were far superior to the others. However, trinuculeotide repeat primers could also be useful if amplification conditions were optimized to reduce background. Tetra- and penta-nucleotide repeat primers do not appear to be suitable with the methods employed.

According to Wang et al. (1994), in plant nuclear DNA the dinucleotide sequence (AT), is most abundant, followed by  $(A)_{n}/(T)_{n}$  and  $(AG)_{n}/(CT)_{n}$ . Common tri- and tetra-nucleotide repeat motifs include  $(AAT)_{n}/(ATT)_{n}$ ,  $(AAC)<sub>n</sub> / (GTT)<sub>n</sub>, \qquad (AGC)<sub>n</sub> / (GTC)<sub>n</sub>, \qquad (AAG)<sub>n</sub> / (CTT)<sub>n</sub>,$  $(AATT)_{n}/(TTAA)_{n}$ ,  $(AAAT)_{n}/(ATT)_{n}$ , and  $(AC)_{n}/(GT)_{n}$ sequences. In the present study, the abundant  $(AG)_{n}$ / (CT), sequences were most useful; they are also known to be abundant in pine genomes (approximately 50 000 repeats per genome; C. Echt, personal communication).

The ISSR loci we studied showed virtually complete agreement with the expectations of Mendelian markers, which will facilitate their use in genome mapping and population studies. Their simple inheritance contrasts with the variable and sometimes large amounts of segregation distortion observed with RAPD markers. For example, only 33% of RAPD markers showed Mendelian inheritance in Norway spruce *(Picea abies* Karst.) (Bucci and Menozzi 1990), approximately 69% in *Picea 91auca* Moench (Tulsieram et al. 1992), and 86% in *Populus* (Bradshaw et al. 1994).

The primers employed appear to be of broad utility for studies of conifers. Sugi and Douglas-fir are members of two distinct conifer families, the Cuppressaceae Bartling (including the Taxodiaceae) and the Pinaceae Lindley, respectively. Their ancient phylogenetic differentiation has been supported by recent molecular studies of their chloroplast genomes (Strauss et al. 1988; Tsumura et al. 1993; Brunsfeld et al. 1994). Nonetheless, the 24 selected primers were in complete agreement in the two species.

Our study indicates that analysis of ISSRs can be accomplished with the ease of analysis of RAPDs, and may thus present a readily accessible means for studying SSR-associated polymorphism. Their advantages over primers to sequences that flank SSRs are that they require no prior knowledge of genomes or the use of polyacrylamide gels and radioactivity for resolution. Their main disadvantage is lack of co-dominance and the consequent resolution of effectively biallelic loci (band presence vs absence). Once optimized, the higher annealing temperature and primer length of ISSR, compared to RAPD, primers should result in more reliable amplification and greater stability of map position among genotypes within species. However, these contentions require explicit testing. Nonetheless, ISSRs can be conventient supplements to RAPD markers, and their Mendelian inheritance will facilitate the saturation of genomic maps and their use in population studies.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Sheila Vollmer for technical assistance, Jan Aagaard for information on effects of formamide, Junyuan Wu for information on RAPD segregation distortion, Kostya Krutovskii and Jan Aagaard for their manuscript review, Kensuke Yoshimura for calculating the melting temperature of primers, Frank Sorensen for providing Douglas-fir seed, and Yuzuru Mukai and Noritsugu Kuramoto for providing sugi megagametophyte DNA. This work was supported by the funds for International Scientific Study provided by the Ministry of Education and Culture in Japan (05041080), and partially supported by grants from the U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB9300083, BSR9857023) and USDA NRI (9400749).

## **References**

- Bradshaw HD, Villar M, Watson BD, Otto KG, Steward S, Stettler RF (1994) Molecular genetics of growth and development in *Populus.* III. A genetic linkage map of a hybrid poplar composed of RFLP, STS, and RAPD markers. Theor Appl Genet 89:167-178
- Brunsfeld SJ, Doltis PS, Soltis DE, Gadels PA, Quinn CJ, Strenge DD, Ranker TA (1994) Phylogenetic relationships among the genera of Taxodiaceae and Cupressaceae: evidence from *rbcL*  sequences. Systematic Bot 19:253-262
- Bucci G, Menozzi P (1990) Segregation analysis of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers in *Picea abies* Karst. Mol Ecol 2:227-232
- Echt CS, Erdahl LA, McCoy J (1992) Genetic segregation of random amplified polymorphic DNA in diploid cultivated alfalfa. Genome  $3\overline{5}$ : 84-87
- Gelfand DH (1989) *Taq* DNA polymerase. In: Erlich HA (ed) PCR technology: principles and application for DNA amplification. Stockton Press, New York, pp 17-22
- Gupta M, Chyi Y-S, Romero-Severson J, Own JL (1994) Amplification of DNA markers from evolutionarily diverse genomes using single primers of simple-sequence repeats. Theor Appl Genet 89 : 998-1006
- Halward T, Stalker T, LaRue E, Kocher G (1992) Use of single-primer DNA amplifications in genetic studies of peanut *(Arachis hypogaea L.)* Plant Mol Biol 18:315-325
- Hamada H, Petrino MG, Kakunaga T (1982) A novel repeated element with Z-DNA-forming potential is widely found in evolutionarily diverse cukaryotic genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 79:6465-6469
- Lagercrantz U, Ellegren H, Andersson L (1993) The abundance of various polymorphic microsatellite motifs between plants and vertebrates. Nucleic Acid Res 21 : 1111-1115
- Li P, Adams WT (1989) Range-wide patterns of allozyme variation in Douglas-fir *(Pseudotsuga menziesii).* Can J For Res 19:149-161
- Litt M, Luty JA (1989) A hypervariable microsatellite revealed by in vitro amplification of a dinucleotide repeat within the cardiac muscle actin gene. Am J Hum Genet 44:397-401
- Maniatis T, Frisch EF, Sambrook J (1982) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring, New York
- Moore SS, Sargeant LL, King TJ, Mattick JS, Georges M, Hetzel DJS (1991) The conservation of dinucleotide microsatellites among mammalian genomes allows the use of heterologous PCR primer pairs in closely related species. Genomics 10:654-660
- Mukai Y, Suyama Y, Tsumura Y, Kawahara T, Yoshimaru H, Kondo T, Tomaru T, Kuramoto T, Murai M (1995) A linkage map for sugi *(Cryptomeriajaponica)* based on RFLP, RAPD and isozyme loci. Theor Appl Genet 90:835-840
- Neale DB, Harry DH (1994) Genetic mapping in firest trees: RFLPs, RAPDs and beyond. AgBiotech News Info 6:107N-114N
- Nelson CD, Nance WL, Doudrick RL (1993) A partial genetic linkage map of slash pine *(Pinus elliottii* Engelm. var. elliottii) based on random amplified polymorphic DNAs. Theor Appl Genet 87:145-151
- Reiter RS, Williams JGK, Feldmann KA, Rafalski JA, Tingey SV, Scolnik PA (1992) Global and local genome mapping in *Ara-*

*bidopsis thaliana* by using recombinant inbred lines and random amplified polymorphic DNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:1477-1481

- Saghai Maroof MA, Biyashev RM, Yang GP, Zhang Q, Allard RW (1994) Extraordinarily polymorphic microsatellite DNA in barley: species diversity, chromosomal locations, and population dynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91 : 5466-5470
- Schlotterer C, Amos B, Tautz D (1991) Conservation of polymorphic simple sequence loci in cetacean species. Nature  $354:63-65$
- Sorensen FC (1979) Provenance variation in *Pseudotsuga menziesii*  seedlings from the var. *menziesii-* var. *glauca* transition zone in Oregon. Silvae Genet 28: 96-103
- Strauss SH, Palmer JD, Howe GT, Doerksen AH (1988) Chloroplast genomes of two conifers lack a large inverted repeat and are extensively rearranged. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85: 3898-3902
- Tsumura Y, Ogihara Y, Sasakuma T, Ohba K (1993) Physical map of chroloplast DNA in sugi, *Cryptomeria japonica.* Theor Appl Genet 86:166-172
- Tulsieram LK, Glaubitz JC, Kiss G, Carlson JE (1992) Single-tree genetic linkage mapping in conifers using haploid DNA from megagametophytes. Bio/Technol 10:686-690
- Wagner DB, Furnier GR, Saghai-Madroof MA, Williams SM, Cancik BP, Allard RW (1987) Chloroplast DNA polymorphisms in lodgepole and jack pines and their hybrids. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 84:2097-2100
- Wang Z, Weber JL, Zhong G, Tanksley SD (1994) Survey of plant short tandem DNA repeats. Theor Appl Genet 88:1-6
- Weber JL, May PE (1989) Abundant class of human DNA polymorphisms which can typed using the polymerase chain reaction, Am J Hum Genet 44:388-396
- Zietkiewicz E, Rafalski A, Labuda D (1994) Genome fingerprinting by simple sequence repeat (SSR)-anchored polymerase chain reaction amplification. Genomics 20:176-183